

Conceptual/Theoretical Paper | Open Access

Utopia or Urgency? Peace as an Imperative in the Face of Nuclear Threat and Religious Fanaticism

Ernesto Kahan, MD, MPH1

¹ Emeritus Professor, Tel Aviv University President, NGO Peace Art and Culture President, International Coalition for Peace (ICP) Former Regional Vice President, International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW)

Copyright and Permission:

© **2025**. The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits sharing, adapting, and building upon this work, provided appropriate credit is given to the original author(s). For full license details, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Address for Correspondence:

Ernesto Kahan (Email: ekahan@tauex.tau.ac.il).

Article History:

Received: 2 June 2025; Accepted: 25 June 2025; Published: 28 June 2025

Abstract

Amid a global context of increasing military tensions, driven by ideological fanaticism and nuclear rearmament, this article argues that the pursuit of peace should not be regarded as a utopian dream but as an existential necessity. The recent case of Iran's enriched uranium production is analyzed alongside Israel's potential response to illustrate the risk of a regional nuclear war with global consequences. The article proposes a conceptual shift away from the paradigm of belligerent religion toward a redefinition of the divine, inspired by the philosophical thought of Baruch Spinoza and the cosmic spirituality of Albert Einstein, as an ethical path for disarming the eternal conflict between "gods at war." This paper also introduces a conceptual model of spiritual disarmament based on philosophical universalism, linking metaphysical ethics with practical policy implications.

Keywords

peace, nuclear war, religious fanaticism, Spinoza, Einstein, Iran, Israel, conflict resolution, spiritual disarmament, interfaith dialogue

Volume 12, 2025

Publisher: The Brooklyn Research and Publishing Institute, 442 Lorimer St, Brooklyn, NY 11206, United States.

DOI: 10.15640/jgpc.vol12a1

Reviewer: Emmanuel David Togba, Senior Research Fellow, Harvard Kennedy School, 79 John F. Kennedy St, Cambridge, MA 02138, United States; Email: emantogba1984@gmail.com; Phone: +1 215 316 4456.

Citation: Kahan, E. (2025). Utopia or Urgency? Peace as an Imperative in the Face of Nuclear Threat and Religious Fanaticism. *Journal of Global Peace and Conflict*, *12*, 1-3. https://doi.org/10.15640/jgpc.vol12a1

Introduction

Contemporary global reality is marked by armed conflicts, structural injustices, and the weakening of international consensus. Among those who raise their voices in protest, many express deep helplessness: "My soul aches to live with so much suffering on this planet. I implore for an end to wars. I know it's a utopia." This resignation, however, is dangerous. History shows that when peace is abandoned as a feasible goal, war gains ground with alarming ease—especially when the forces of war are fueled by religious fanaticism and nuclear weapons.

The Present Risk: Iran, Israel, and the Shadow of Mutual Destruction

In May 2025, reports emerged confirming that Iran had accumulated nearly half a ton of highly enriched uranium (HEU), potentially enabling the production of multiple nuclear weapons in a short time frame, in direct violation of IAEA protocols (IAEA, 2025; NTI, 2025). While some experts debate the immediacy of the threat, there is broad agreement that this marks a pivotal moment in regional security.

Unlike other nuclear powers, Iran's regime is deeply theocratic, raising the possibility that decisions involving weapons of mass destruction may be driven not solely by strategic logic, but by religious ideology. Israel, facing a long history of existential threats, would likely interpret this development as intolerable. With a proactive defense posture and undeclared nuclear capacity (Cohen, 1998), Israel may respond preemptively, reigniting Cold War-style deterrence logic. Yet this time, the actors are not secular superpowers but ideologically-driven entities.

Gods at War: The Theological Dimension of Conflict

Religious wars often emerge when sacred narratives sanctify violence. The issue is not belief per se, but the weaponization of belief. Anthropomorphic and tribalistic conceptions of the divine can justify absolute conflict.

Baruch Spinoza (1632–1677) offered a radically different vision: Deus sive Natura, or God as Nature. In this view, God is not a personal entity who rewards or punishes, but the rational substance of the universe. His ethical framework precludes divine favoritism, making holy war incoherent.

Albert Einstein, who openly embraced Spinoza's conception of God, emphasized awe before the laws of nature as a spiritual attitude (Jammer, 1999). His pacifism grew stronger after Hiroshima, leading him to denounce nationalism and militarism. This convergence of metaphysics and ethics is central to our thesis.

Recent Interfaith Dialogues and the Nuclear Challenge In recent years, several high-level interfaith initiatives have emerged to foster understanding and cooperation among religious traditions. The Document on Human Fraternity (2019), signed by Pope Francis and Grand Imam Ahmed el-Tayeb, emphasized peace, coexistence, and the rejection of violence in God's name. The Elijah Interfaith Institute (2023) has also promoted dialogues involving Jewish, Christian, Muslim, Hindu, and Buddhist leaders focused on shared values of compassion and human dignity. However, such initiatives often avoid direct engagement with the existential threat posed by nuclear weapons.

We argue that interfaith dialogue must go further—explicitly addressing the moral imperative of nuclear disarmament as a shared spiritual and ethical responsibility. Without confronting this risk, even the most harmonious dialogue remains incomplete.

Conceptual Framework:

Toward Spiritual Disarmament We propose a model of "spiritual disarmament": a conceptual approach wherein rethinking the divine serves as a precondition for peace. This model includes:

- 1. **De-anthropomorphizing God** Emphasizing universalist metaphysics over tribal narratives.
- 2. **Moral cosmopolitanism** Advocating for global ethics rooted in reason, not revelation.
- 3. **Epistemic humility** Recognizing the limits of dogma, encouraging pluralism.

This framework seeks to neutralize the existential fuel of many modern conflicts, moving beyond mere tolerance toward an ethics of mutual reverence.

Peace as a Rational and Ethical Responsibility

Peace cannot be dismissed as utopia. In the 21st century, peace is a condition for survival. To deny this is to surrender to nihilism or fanaticism. As Ernesto Kahan (2006), physician, poet, and peace activist, put it: "Fighting for peace is not an ethical option—it is a vital obligation."

Transformation begins with language, education, and an urgent redefinition of power, justice, and divinity. Prominent peace theorists such as Johan Galtung (1996), John Paul Lederach (2005), and Betty Reardon (2010) have all emphasized the role of education, reconciliation, and ethics in the architecture of sustainable peace.

Ernesto Kahan 2

Conclusion and Call to Action

The struggle for peace is not a naive ideal but the most lucid and urgent response to nuclear threat, especially when such risk is framed within absolutist narratives. Preventing gods from waging wars through men demands a transformation in the idea of God itself.

DOI: 10.15640/jgpc.vol12a1

The thought of Spinoza and Einstein offers a higher synthesis: a spirituality of wonder, interdependence, and ethical restraint. It is time to promote a cosmology that heals rather than divides. Policymakers, educators, religious leaders, and citizens must all take part in this metaphysical shift.

Let us therefore act—not in fear, but in clarity. Peace is not merely the absence of war; it is the presence of wisdom.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable.

Funding: None.

References

Albright, D., Burkhard, S., & Heinonen, O. (2023). Iran's increasing stockpile of enriched uranium: A technical assessment. Institute for Science and International Security. https://isis-online.org

Cohen, A. (1998). Israel and the Bomb. Columbia University Press.

Document on Human Fraternity for World Peace and Living Together. (2019). Abu Dhabi.

Elijah Interfaith Institute. (2023). Global Interfaith Dialogue Projects. https://elijah-interfaith.org

Galtung, J. (1996). Peace by Peaceful Means: Peace and Conflict, Development and Civilization. Sage Publications.

International Atomic Energy Agency. (2025). Verification and Monitoring in the Islamic Republic of Iran. https://www.iaea.org

Jammer, M. (1999). Einstein and Religion: Physics and Theology. Princeton University Press.

Kahan, E. (2006). La ética del sobreviviente: Poemas y reflexiones sobre la paz. Editorial Dunken.

Lederach, J. P. (2005). The Moral Imagination: The Art and Soul of Building Peace. Oxford University Press.

Nuclear Threat Initiative (NTI). (2025). Iran Nuclear Profile. https://www.nti.org

Reardon, B. (2010). Peace Education: A Review and Projection. Journal of Peace Education, 7(1), 1-14.

Spinoza, B. (2002). Ethics Demonstrated in Geometrical Order (S. Shirley, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published 1677)

Author Biography

Prof. Emeritus Dr. Ernesto Kahan is a University Prof. Tel Aviv University; a world recognized awarded poet, physician, epidemiologist and health insurance system evaluator (World Bank and HMOs in Latin America), plastic artist, First Vice President of World Congress of Poets Inc. Is Academician in European Academy Sciences and Arts, Royal European Academy of Doctors, and North American Academy of Modern International Lit., Actual President Peace-Art-Culture, president of International Coalition for Peace and Former Vice President of IPPNW (Awarded Nobel Peace 1985). Published 22 Books, 80 Collective Books and 250 Peer Review Papers. Is Albert Schweitzer Peace Award and Doctor Honoris Causa in many universities and Honor visitor in about ten cities in Latin America.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, opinions, and data presented in all publications are exclusively those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the position of BRPI or its editorial team. BRPI and the editorial team disclaim any liability for any harm to individuals or property arising from the use of any ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.

3 Ernesto Kahan